

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRR No.4361 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: March 28, 2016.

Joginder Pal @ Peena @ Ranjha

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Lakhwinder Singh Mann, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

Impugned in the present revision is the judgment dated 1.6.2015 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Pathankot, affirming the judgment of conviction and the order of sentence dated 7.8.2012 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Pathankot vide which the petitioner was convicted for commission of offences punishable under Sections 304-A and 279 IPC and sentenced to undergo RI for six months under Section 279 IPC. He was also sentenced under Section 304-A IPC to undergo RI for two years and to pay a fine of Rs.500/-, in default thereof, further RI for one month.

Notice of motion was issued only on the quantum of sentence.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

From the perusal of the file, it reveals that as a result of rash

and negligent driving of the bus by the petitioner, one person,namely, Sat Pal died. Considering the facts and circumstances and the fact that as per the custody certificate, there is no record of previous conviction of the petitioner, the sentence of RI for two years awarded under Section 304-A IPC is reduced to RI for one year. Remaining sentences shall remain intact. With this modification, the revision petition is dismissed.

March 28, 2016.
kadyan

[Kuldip Singh]
Judge