

**216 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR No. 4335 of 2015

Date of Decision: February 17, 2016

Dinesh

.... Petitioner

vs.

Sandeep and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Sudhir Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Yashwinder Singh, DAG, Haryana.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

The present revision petition has been filed against the judgment dated 17.08.2015 passed by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Rohtak, affirming the judgment dated 14.03.2014 passed by learned Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtak, vide which in the case pertaining to FIR No.130, dated 10.06.2004, under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC registered at Police Station Sampla, accused Sandeep and Satwanti were given the benefit of doubt and were acquitted of the charges levelled against them.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have also carefully gone through the case file.

It comes out that learned Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtak has given the benefit of doubt to the aforesaid accused. The appeal against the acquittal of the aforesaid accused has been dismissed by the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Rohtak. There are

concurrent finding of two courts below, holding that the charges levelled against the aforesaid accused could not be proved. Therefore, while exercising the revisional powers, this Court does not find any illegality, infirmity or perversity in the same.

Hence, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

February 17, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE