

227 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 313 of 2016
DECIDED ON: MAY 26, 2016

GURBAJ SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH.

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?***
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?***
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?***

sentenced to undergo RI for a period of six months.

2. At the very outset, it has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that during the pendency of instant revision petition, parties to the *lis* have entered into an amicable settlement and in pursuance thereof, statement of the parties have already been recorded.

3. A report with regard to the compromise has also been received from the JMIC, Batala in which it has been mentioned that compromise effected between the parties is genuine one and is not the result of any pressure or coercion.

4. According to compromise complainant/respondent has received the full and final payment from the petitioner and nothing remains due against the petitioner. This fact has been categorically admitted by learned counsel for the respondent-complainant.

5. Taking into consideration the afore-said aspect and especially the compromise arrived at in between the parties, a lenient view is taken in the matter of sentence imposed upon the petitioner. As is evident from the custody certificate, which is available on file petitioner has already undergone incarceration for a period of three months, out of the total substantive sentence for a period of six months.

6. Accordingly, the conviction of the petitioner is upheld and the order of sentence is modified to the extent to the period already undergone by him with no change in the fine clause.

7. With the aforesaid modification in the quantum of sentence, the revision petition stands dismissed.

MAY 26, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE