

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-4219-2015

Date of Decision: February 24, 2016

Krishan Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

Umesh Kumar Goyal and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Eklavya Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Hitesh Sood, Advocate, for respondent No. 2.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab, for respondent No. 3.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J. (Oral):

Learned counsel for respondent No. 1 submits that the private parties to the *lis* have resolved their dispute and effected a compromise and, as such, respondent No. 1/complainant, Umesh Kumar Goyal, would withdraw the complaint which is pending before learned Court below.

In view of above, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition.

Ordered accordingly.

**(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE**

February 24, 2016
Pkapoor