

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.12991 of 2012
Decided on :18.01.2016**

Jatinder Pal Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. L.S. Virk, Addl. AG, Punjab.

G.S. Sandhawalia , J. (Oral)

The petitioner challenges the action of the respondents not to mark his presence in the attendance register and allow him to perform his duties as a peon.

It is claimed that the petitioner was appointed on 23.12.2011 (Annexure P-2) and is regularly working and is not being allowed to perform his duties.

In the written statement filed, the fact of the appointment is being admitted. It is submitted that the appointment was on temporary basis at D.C rates. The work and conduct was not found good and on 06.06.2012 (Annexure R-2), the petitioner was put to notice that he was not regularly attending the office. The services of the petitioner were terminated on 04.07.2012, on account of the fact that he was not attending the duty satisfactorily. The said order has not been challenged and nor any replication to counter the allegations made therein has been filed.

In view of the fact that the appointment was only on a temporary basis and the termination is done on account of not attending the office, no fault can be found in the action of the respondents.

Accordingly, the present writ petition stands dismissed.

JANUARY 18, 2016
Naveen

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE**