

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.2812 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 7th October, 2016

Gurmail Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Ranjit Singh and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajesh K. Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner.
 Mr. Harsh Manocha, Advocate for respondent No.1.
 Mr. Jasjeet Dhaliwal, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
 for respondent No.2/State.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

CRM No.27592 of 2016

Mr. Harsh Manocha, Advocate has accepted notice of this application on behalf of the complainant/respondent No.1 and has made a statement that he does not want to file reply to the application nor has any objection in case the same is allowed.

Keeping in view that the complainant has filed an affidavit and even as per the orders dated 24.09.2016 the parties have made statements through their counsel regarding the matter having been compromised and in the light of this compromise the judgment of

conviction dated 29.10.2014 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Malerkotla and dated 02.01.2016 of the first appellate Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur are hereby set aside and the offence is allowed to be compounded in the light of law laid down in **‘G.Sivarajan v. Little Flower Kuries & Enterprises Ltd. & another’ 2004(11) SCC 400.**

CRR No.2812 of 2016 (O&M)

In view of the same, the present revision petition has become infructuous and stands dismissed as such.

**(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE**

October 7, 2016
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No