

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.2782 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 22.09.2016

Harjeet Singh Sahdra

..Petitioner

Versus

The Senior Superintendent of Police and others

..Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. M.S. Jandiala, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukant Gupta, APP, UT Chandigarh.

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)**

The instant revision petition has been filed by the petitioner impugning the order dated 30.04.2016, passed by learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Chandigarh, whereby, the complaint/application under Section 156(3) of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short 'Cr.P.C.') was rejected.

The learned counsel for the petitioner cites '**Surinderjit Singh Mand and another Vs. State of Punjab and another**', passed in Criminal Appeal No.565 of 2016 (arising out of SLP (Crl.) No.3406 of 2008), decided by Hon'ble the Supreme Court of India on 05.07.2016. However, the cited judgment clearly says that jurisdiction is concurrent. That being the position, this Court does not wish to interfere in the impugned order at this stage. The petitioner is free to assail the impugned order in question before the Sessions Judge, concerned, if so advised.

Dismissed.

Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner had been agitating before this Court, the question of delay consumed during the proceedings before this Court, be not made a ground against the petitioner.

**22.09.2016**  
*ashok*

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:                      Yes   /   No

Whether reportable:                                      Yes   /   No