

230 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No.3894 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: April 04, 2016

Vishal alias Shalu Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Karan Choudhary, Advocate for
Mr. Dhawaljeet Dutta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, DAG, Punjab.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Custody certificate of the petitioner has been filed in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

The present petitioner was convicted by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Pathankot vide judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 30.11.2013, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 326 and 452 IPC and sentenced as under:

Sr. No.	Offence u/s	Sentence	Fine (₹)	In default of payment of fine
1	326 IPC	2 years (R.I.)	1,500/-	15 days (R.I.)
2	452 IPC	1 year (R.I.)	1,000/-	2 months (R.I.)

Both the substantive sentences were directed to run concurrently.

The judgment of conviction and order of sentence were unsuccessfully challenged before the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Pathankot.

Notice of motion was issued in this case on the limited question of sentence only.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have also carefully gone through the case file.

Custody certificate of the petitioner shows that he has undergone the total sentence of 1 year, 6 months and 19 days including remission.

Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and the period already undergone by the petitioner, the sentence of imprisonment awarded to the petitioner is reduced to the period already undergone by him. He be released forthwith, if not required in nay other case.

Accordingly, the present revision petition stands allowed.

April 04, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE