

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO No.M-95-M of 2005

Date of decision: August 30, 2016

Rajinder Kumar

..... Appellant

Versus

Neelam

..... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M. JEYAPPAUL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR**

Present:- Mr. I.P.S. Bains, Advocate, for
Mr. S.S. Bains, Advocate
for the appellant.

M. JEYAPPAUL, J. (ORAL)

Counsel appearing for the appellant submits that he could not contact the appellant in spite of his best efforts to collect the correct address of respondent for service. On 26.05.2016, we made an observation that if steps were not taken by the appellant for effecting service, the appeal would be dismissed in default.

As the appellant is not interested in prosecuting the appeal, the appeal stands dismissed in default.

**(M. JEYAPPAUL)
JUDGE**

**(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE**

August 30, 2016
Dinesh Bansal

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No