

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.3606 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 16.02.2016

Sunehra Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldeep Singh

Present: Mr.Vivek Singla, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Parveen Aggarwal, DAG Haryana

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldeep Singh, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has been convicted for commission of offence punishable under Section 174-A IPC by the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtak on the ground that in another case registered under Section 302, 120B, 34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act arising out of FIR No.211 dated 29.6.2012 pertaining to police station Sadar Rohtak, he was declared proclaimed offender vide order dated 25.10.2012. The learned Chief Judicial Magistrate had convicted the present petitioner on the basis of the confession made by him and he was sentenced to the period already undergone by him. Appeal filed by him before the Sessions Court was dismissed as time barred.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and going through the file, I am of the view that since conviction is recorded on the basis of the confession suffered by the accused, therefore, no appeal lies. Lenient view has already been taken and he has been sentenced to the period already undergone by him.

The revision petition stands dismissed.

16.02.2016

gk

GOPAL KRISHAN
2016.02.17 15:36
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
High Court Chandigarh

**(Kuldeep Singh)
Judge**