

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-3560-2015

Date of decision: January 11, 2016.

Ravinder @ Binder

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Haryana

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Bijender Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Simrandeep Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. M.S. Sidhu, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

This revision petition is filed by the petitioner against the order dated 29.5.2015 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtak vide which his appeal under Section 52 of the Juvenile Justice (Care & Protection of Children) Act, 2000 challenging the order dated 15.5.2015 passed by the Principal Magistrate, Juvenile Justice Board, Rohtak, declining him bail, was dismissed.

I have heard Learned Counsel for the parties.

Copy of the FIR perused. It is alleged that some youth riding three motorcycles committed the crime. The names of some of the persons on the first motorcycles and names of some other persons on the second motorcycles were mentioned in the FIR. It is mentioned in the FIR

that there were three other boys on the third motorcycles, who can be recognized. In the occurrence, Dhiraj was murdered. The police FIR shows that no specific role is attributed to the present juvenile offender Ravinder alias Binder. The learned counsel has produced copies of the orders showing that other major co-accused, who are also facing trial, namely Harender, Yogesh, Neeraj and Aman have been granted bail by this Court on various dates.

The learned Additional Sessions Judge has refused bail on the ground that the petitioner will come in contact with criminals while on bail.

Date of birth of the petitioner is stated to 20.4.1997 and now he is above 18 years of age.

Keeping in view the fact that no specific role is attributed to the petitioner and that some of the major co-accused, whose names have been mentioned in the FIR, have been granted bail, the same benefit is also granted to the petitioner.

In these circumstances, the revision petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing personal surety bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- to the satisfaction of Principal Magistrate, Juvenile Justice Board, Rohtak.

January 11, 2016.
kadyan

[Kuldip Singh]
Judge