

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.R No.2354 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 08.07.2016**

Samunder Singh

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed against the order declining to
summon the respondent No.2 as an additional accused in a complaint
case.

The trial Court has noticed that prior to the statement made

in the Court the petitioner had not made any allegations against the respondent No.2. Learned counsel has argued that in the complaint the respondent No.2 had been specifically named as an accused No.3. That may be so but in the complaint the gravamen was that Sachin-accused No.1 took the son of the petitioner by saying that the accused No.2 would give him Rs.50,000/- and they went to the office of the accused No.2 and the respondent No.2. However, there is no allegation in the complaint about any action which may have been done by the respondent No.2. As regards the accused Nos.1 and 2, there is allegation that the accused No.1 took the son of the petitioner and the accused No.2 would give him some money but as regards respondent No.2 there is no allegation.

In the circumstances, I find no fault with the findings of the Court below. Petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

July 08, 2016
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE