

**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No.232 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: April 25, 2016**

Sarabjit Singh

.... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Ms. Rifi Birla, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

CRM-2030-2016

There is delay of 194 days in filing the present appeal.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, delay of 194 days in filing the present appeal is condoned, subject to all just exceptions.

Application stands disposed of.

Main Case

Lower court file has been received and perused. It shows that the present petitioner is co-signatory with Sukhchain Singh, the then Sarpanch, on the cheques, vide which the money was withdrawn. Sukhchain Singh, the then Sarpanch, is now dead.

Faced with these circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner presses the revision only on the point of quantum of sentence.

The embezzled amount is of ₹2,74,369/- and the sentence awarded to the petitioner is rigorous imprisonment for three years and to pay a fine of ₹1,000/-, in default thereof to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for one month for the commission of offence punishable under Section 409 IPC.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, the sentence of imprisonment awarded to the present petitioner is reduced from 3 years to 2½ years. However, the remaining part of the sentence is maintained.

With the abovenoted modification, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

April 25, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE