

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.1034-CWP of 2016 in
CM No.8117-CWP of 2015 in/and
CWP No.4665 of 2008
Date of decision: 16.08.2016**

Parkash Singh

..Applicant-Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: None for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Punjab
for the respondents-State.

Daya Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel submits that in view of para No.3 of the short reply dated 13.07.2016, the present writ petition has become infructuous as the claim of the petitioner is for quashing of termination order and reinstatement in service and he was reinstated in service.

The stand taken in para No.3 of the short reply is reproduced as under: -

“xxx

xxx

xxx

3. That in compliance to the order dated 5-2-2016, it is verified that the order dated 13-4-2011 has been passed by the office of Inspector General of Police, Border, Amritsar vide which Ex.ASI(ORP) Parkash Singh No.1718/BTL was re-instated into service. As such, the instant writ petition filed by the petitioner has become infructuous.”

Keeping in view the stand taken in the short reply and the submission made by learned State counsel, nothing survives in the present writ petition and the same is dismissed as having become infructuous.

However, in case, the petitioner is still aggrieved, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

16.08.2016
neetu

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	✓ Yes/No
Whether Reportable	✓ Yes/No