

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No.3220 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 28.03.2016

Darshan Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate for the petitioner

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Impugned in the present revision is the judgment dated 14.5.2015, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Hoshiarpur, vide which the appeal filed by the present petitioner was dismissed and the appeal filed by the accused-respondents was also dismissed with modification in the sentence and the respondents were ordered to be released on probation of good conduct for one year on their furnishing the probation bonds in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each with an undertaking not to commit any similar offence during the period of probation and will come present and receive sentence imposed by the trial Court whenever called upon to do so in case of violating the terms of the probation bonds.

Heard.

After going through the judgment of the first appellate Court, it comes out that the first appellate Court had given sound reasoning as to why the discretion to released the accused-respondent on probation has been exercised. Four grounds were considered and the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court was applied to grant said benefit of releasing the accused-respondent on probation. This was the discretion exercised by the first appellate Court. There is no illegality or perversity in exercising the said discretion. No ground to interfere in the same.

The present revision stands dismissed.

28.03.2016
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge