

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(113)

CRR-1993-2016

Decided on: May 27, 2016.

Gurmeet Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. K.S. Sidhu, Advocate, for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

The petitioner is husband of complainant Manjeet Kaur alleging that the petitioner had made an attempt to kill her by putting 'dupptta' on her neck besides inflicting injuries on vital parts with an intention to kill her and of having made an attempt to administer sulphos in her mouth to kill her in connivance with his mother.

Having been charged under Section 307 IPC, the petitioner has approached this Court by filing the present revision petition contending that since the complainant has not suffered any injury which could have endangered her life, it cannot be said that the petitioner had intention to kill her.

The order framing charges under Section 307 IPC has been challenged on the ground that there is insufficient material gathered by the prosecution agency to prove the guilt of the petitioner for having made an attempt to kill his wife.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had neither any intention to kill his wife nor he has committed any specific

overt act in that context and that he has been falsely implicated at the instance of complainant's brother, who after an inordinate delay got registered the FIR u/s 307 IPC.

All the pleas taken up by the petitioner in this petition, can be raised at an opportune time before the trial Court to seek acquittal.

Counsel for the petitioner, at this stage, seeks permission to withdraw the petition with liberty to raise the pleas before the trial Court at opportune time.

Disposed of as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

May 27, 2016
harsha