

218

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-3015-2015 (O/M)

Date of decision : 24.5.2016

Rajinder Trehan

..... Petitioner

Versus

Parshotam Lal and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Dhiraj Mahajan, Advocate, for,
Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Munjal, Advocate, for respondents No. 1 and 6.

None for respondent No. 7.

Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Respondents No. 2 and 8 were not summoned by the trial Court. Therefore, petition qua them stands dismissed.

The present petitioner has impugned the order dated 10.8.2015, passed by the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gurdaspur, vide which his evidence was closed by orders.

The perusal of the interim order shows that after framing of charge, four opportunities were granted. The learned counsel for the petitioner has prayed for one more opportunity to conclude his evidence.

In the interest of justice, the petitioner is granted only one more opportunity to produce his entire evidence at his risk and responsibility,

CRR-3015-2015 (O/M)

subject to payment of Rs. 5,000/- as costs to the respondents. It is made clear that if the complainant-petitioner fails to conclude his evidence, the same shall stand closed by orders.

The present revision is allowed to the abovenoted extent.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

24.5.2016
sjks