

**104 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.14640 of 2016 in/and
CRR No.2926 of 2015
Date of Decision: May 06, 2016**

Shamshad Begam and others Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Sunil Panwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Complainant-applicant in person along with
Mr. B.S. Khehar, Advocate.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Mohinder Singh, complainant has filed CRM No.14640 of 2016 under Section 320 Cr.P.C. read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. for compounding the offences punishable under Sections 420 read with Section 120-B IPC.

The present petitioner-accused was charge sheeted by the trial court under Sections 420 read with Section 120-B IPC. After the trial, the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panchkula, vide judgment dated 01.02.2014 acquitted all the accused-petitioners.

State of Haryana being aggrieved by the judgment of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panchkula, preferred an appeal. The learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Panchkula, vide judgment dated 27.05.2015 set aside the judgment dated 01.02.2014 passed by the

learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panchkula and remanded the case back to the court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panchkula, for fresh decision.

Against the said judgment of learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Panchkula, the present revision petition has been filed before this Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have also carefully gone through the case file.

In this case, as per case of the complainant Mohinder Singh, he was cheated by the accused-petitioner. It is stated in the application that now the parties have compromised the matter. The compromise is placed on record as Annexure P-8. The application is supported by an affidavit.

Complainant, who is present in person in the Court today affirms the factum of compromise.

To keep good relation between the parties and also keeping in view the fact that it is a money dispute, which has now been amicably settled between the parties, the complainant is hereby granted permission to compound the offence punishable under Sections 420 read with Section 120-B IPC with the accused.

Accordingly, CRM No.14640 of 2016 stands disposed of.

Since, the offence under Section 420 read with Section 120-B IPC has been lawfully compounded, therefore, the judgment dated 27.05.2015 passed by the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Panchkula, setting aside the judgment dated 01.02.2014 passed by

the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panchkula and remanding the case back to said Court is also set aside. The net result will be that judgment dated 01.02.2014 passed by learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panchkula, acquitting the accused-petitioner shall stand restored.

The present revision petition is, accordingly, allowed in the abovenoted terms.

May 06, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE