

**114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No.1776 of of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : June 02, 2016**

Paramjit Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ashok Giri, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Lower court record has been received and perused.

Learned counsel for the petitioner presses the present revision petition only on the point of quantum of sentence.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar Bansal, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.

In this case, in the motor vehicular accident, one person, namely Tarsem Singh lost his life.

It is contended that petitioner belongs to Delhi and he had been facing trial for the last six years and coming on each and every date of hearing from Delhi to Malout, which is nearly 400 Kms. Therefore, leniency in the sentence is prayed for.

After considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that the petitioner was facing trial for the last 6 years and coming on all dates of hearing from Delhi to Malout, the sentence of

rigorous imprisonment of two years awarded upon the petitioner in addition to fine for the commission of offence punishable under Section 304-A IPC is reduced to 1 year. However, the remaining part of the sentence awarded under Section 304-A and 279 IPC is maintained.

With the aforesaid modification, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

June 02, 2016
sarita