

CRR No. 2904 of 2015

::1::

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR No. 2904 of 2015
Date of decision : July 27, 2016

Sunder Lal Sharma,

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana and another,

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Vishal Garg Narwana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG Haryana

Mr. Ashwani Gour, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

This revision petition has been filed against the concurrent conviction of the petitioner by the Courts below under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instrument Act, 1881.

Brief facts are that the petitioner owed money to the complainant/respondent No.2 and failed to return the same. He issued a cheque which bounced and the present complaint was filed.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner has already undergone the original sentence of one year and even has also undergone some of the sentence of six months in default of payment of fine, and prays that some lenient view may be taken with regard to the remaining sentence.

Counsel for the respondents have accepted the factual assertions and have fairly stated that the limited prayer made by the counsel for the petitioner can be considered.

In these circumstances, I deem it appropriate to accept the limited prayer of counsel for the petitioner. Consequently, even while maintaining the conviction of the petitioner and dismissing this petition, the sentence of the petitioner is reduced to the period which he has already undergone.

July 27, 2016
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No