

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 2791 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : February 02, 2016

Sadhu Singh

...Petitioner...

versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present : Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. RPS Sidhu, AAG, Punjab,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Bhatnagar, Advocate,
for respondent-complainant.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

JASPAL SINGH, J. (Oral)

CRM No. 24422 of 2015

Compromise (Annexure P-1) is taken on record, subject
to all just exceptions.

CRM stands disposed of.

Main case

The instant criminal revision petition has been preferred
by Sadhu Singh-petitioner feeling dissatisfied against the judgement
of conviction and order of sentence dated 06.01.2010 passed by the

Id. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sri Muktsar Sahib whereby he has been convicted and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of two years and to pay a fine of ₹5000/- and in default to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of 3 months. The sentence awarded by Id. CJM has already been upheld by the Id. Additional Sessions Judge, Sri Muktsar Sahib vide judgment dated 21.01.2012 whereby an appeal filed by the petitioner was dismissed.

2. Today, at the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that an amicable settlement has been arrived at between the parties, which has already been reduced into writing. Copy of compromise dated July 17, 2015, is annexed as Annexure P-1.

3. Mr. Mukesh Kumar Bhatnagar, Advocate representing respondent-complainant-Iqbal Singh has candidly admitted the contents of the compromise (Annexure P-1) and has submitted that petitioner has already made the payment settled in between the parties, which has been happily accepted by respondent-complainant.

4. In view of the above, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the compromise is for their benefit and will bring peace and harmony between them.

5. In the light of what has been discussed above, the criminal revision petition is accepted whereby the impugned

judgment(s) of conviction and order of sentence are set aside.

Consequently, petitioner stands acquitted of the charge.

February 02, 2016

sonika

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**