

**112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No.1627 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: May 02, 2016**

Amandeep Singh @ Aman

.... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Amit Kumar Walia, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner presses the present revision petition only on the point of quantum of sentence.

Notice to the Advocate General, Punjab only on the point of quantum of sentence.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.

After considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the view that there is some scope of reduction of sentence of imprisonment awarded to the petitioner. Therefore the rigorous imprisonment of 2 years awarded to the petitioner for the commission of offence punishable under Section 304-A IPC is reduced to 1 year 3 months, whereas the fine is enhanced from ₹10,000/- to ₹50,000/-, payable to the legal heirs of deceased- Manmohan Kaur. However, the remaining part of the sentence awarded to the petitioner under the other sections is maintained.

With the aforesaid modification, the present revision
petition stands dismissed.

May 02, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE