

108

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-13910-2016 in/and
CRR No. 1622 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 29.4.2016

Labh Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Riffi Birla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

CRM No. 13910 of 2016

There is delay of 219 days in filing the present revision.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the delay of 219 days in filing the present revision is condoned.

Application is disposed of.

Main case

Learned counsel for the petitioner presses the revision only on the quantum of sentence.

Notice of motion to the State of Punjab only on the quantum of sentence.

CRR No. 1622 of 2016 (O/M)

-2-

On asking of the Court, Mr. Ashish Sanghi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Heard.

The petitioner has been convicted by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Fazilka, under Sections 279, 337 and 304-A IPC and was sentenced to the maximum imprisonment of 2 years under Section 304-A IPC. The short prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that some leniency in the sentence may be shown.

In this case, one person, namely, Gaurav lost his life.

Keeping in view facts and circumstances, the sentence of rigorous imprisonment for 2 years under Section 304-A IPC is reduced to 1 year and 3 months. However, the fine is enhanced from Rs. 5,000/- to Rs. 50,000/-, to be paid to the LRs of the deceased as compensation. The sentence under the other sections shall remain intact.

With the abovenoted modification, the present revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

29.4.2016
sjks