

**205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No.2740 of 2015 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: March 30, 2016**

**Kirandeep Singh**

**.... Petitioner**

**vs.**

**State of Punjab**

**.... Respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

**Present:** Mr. Harbhajan Singh, Advocate for  
Mr. H.S. Sirohi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, DAG, Punjab.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

**Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)**

**CRM-24150-2015**

There is delay of 47 days in filing the present revision.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, delay of 47 days in filing the present revision is condoned, subject to all just exception.

Application stands disposed of.

**Main Case**

Heard.

The petitioner has been convicted for the commission of offence punishable under Section 174-A IPC by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Samrala, vide judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 29.05.2014 and was fined ₹10,000/-, in default thereof to undergo rigorous imprisonment for one month.

The appeal filed by the present petitioner against the said judgment of conviction and order of sentence was dismissed by the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Ludhiana, vide judgment dated 21.02.2015.

After going through the judgments of both the courts below, I am of the view that the petitioner became proclaimed offender in the FIR registered against him. From the documentary evidence, it was proved that the petitioner was proclaimed offender. Therefore, there is no illegality or infirmity in the findings recorded by both the courts below.

Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

**March 30, 2016**  
sarita

**(KULDIP SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**