

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Case No. : C. W. P.No. 14106 of 2011

Date of Decision : February 29, 2016

Sarjant Singh and others Petitioners

vs.

Union Territory and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL.

* * *

To be referred to Reporters or not ?

Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

* * *

Present : Mr. Ashok Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Shekhar Vema, Advocate
for respondent no. 1.

Mr. Vikram Vir Sharda, Advocate
for respondents no. 2 to 5.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. :

Through the present petition, the petitioners, who serve the Municipal Corporation, Chandigarh (hereinafter referred to as – the Corporation) on Class-IV posts, seeks regularization of their services.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent

Corporation submits that as per the recent regularization policy dated

13.03.2015 (clarified on 08.07.2015), cases of petitioners no. 8, 9, 11, 13, 17, 23, 24, 26 and 27 have been favourably considered and orders of regularization of their services have been passed. He further submits that so far as other petitioners are concerned, their cases, under the afore-referred policy, would be considered expeditiously.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the aforementioned statement made on behalf of learned counsel for the respondent Corporation satisfies the interests of the petitioners, except that petitioner no. 5 Kuljeet Singh has expired and appropriate directions be issued to the respondent Corporation to consider his case through his legal representatives.

In view of the aforesaid, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

So far as petitioner no. 5 Kuljeet Singh is concerned, his legal representatives are permitted to approach the respondent Corporation through a representation for seeking regularization of services of Kuljeet Singh and benefits arising therefrom. If any such representation is made, the same be decided expeditiously not later than three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, in accordance with law.

So far as the other petitioners are concerned, whose services are not yet been regularized, since they have been serving the respondent

Corporation for the last about 20 years, it is directed that their cases for regularization be also considered expeditiously, but not later than three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

February 29, 2016
monika