

CRR No. 2672 of 2015 (O&M)

::1::

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR No. 2672 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : July 12, 2016

Birbhan

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana and others,

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Munish Kumar Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

CRM No.23662 of 2015

For the reasons recorded, this application is allowed and
delay of 15 days in filing the revision petition is condoned.

CRR No.2672 of 2015

judgments of the Courts below acquitting respondents No.2 to 4 of the charge framed against them, on the ground that the petitioner being a Clerk of a counsel at Narwana still did not take care to lodge the FIR for a period of 48 hours and, thus, the FIR suffered from unexplained delay.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the dispute was with relatives and the petitioner had explained the delay on the ground that he was seeking compromise.

Be that as it may, I see no ground to take a view different to that taken by the Courts below. Consequently, this petition is dismissed.

July 12, 2016
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE