

**259 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No.1461 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : May 27, 2016**

Pyari

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.S. Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Supriya Arora, AAG, Haryana.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.-

-.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Custody certificate of the petitioner has been filed in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

Petitioner along with another co-accused has been convicted for the commission of offence defined under Section 39 and 49(b) of the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972 and punishable under Section 51 of the said Act and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for five years and to pay a fine of Rs.20,000/-, in default thereof to further undergo imprisonment for one month vide judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 03.09.2014 passed by the Presiding Officer, Special Environment Court, Kurukshetra.

The short prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner is for reduction of sentence awarded upon the petitioner.

It is stated that petitioner Pyari is widow and she is to look after her family, therefore, a lenient view should be taken.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, the sentence of rigorous imprisonment of five years awarded upon the petitioner is reduced to three years. However, the remaining part of the sentence is maintained.

Since, as per custody certificate, the petitioner has already undergone the total sentence of 3 years, 5 months and 21 days including remission as on 26.05.2016, therefore, she be released forthwith, if not required in any other case.

With this modification, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 27, 2016
sarita