

110
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 1442 of 2016(O/M)
Date of decision : 12.4.2016

Ranjit Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.K. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- .- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

This revision is filed against the concurrent findings of two Courts below, whereby the petitioner was convicted for commission of offences punishable under Sections 279 and 304-A IPC by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Rajpura, vide judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 14.10.2014. The petitioner was sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for three months and to pay a fine of Rs. 100/-, in default thereof, to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for 10 days under Section 279 IPC. He was also sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for two years and to pay a fine of Rs. 400/-, in default thereof, to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for 15 days under Section 304-A IPC. Both the sentences were ordered to run concurrently.

CRR No. 1442 of 2016(O/M)

-2-

The short prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioner is for reduction in the sentence, awarded to the petitioner by the lower Court.

In this case, Jangi, aged about 19 years, who was riding the bicycle, was hit by the truck in question, being driven by the present petitioner.

Considering the age of the deceased and the facts and circumstances, there is some scope for reduction in the sentence. Therefore, the sentence of rigorous imprisonment, awarded under Section 304-A IPC, is reduced from two years to one year and three months. The sentence awarded under Section 279 IPC is maintained.

With the abovesaid modification, the present revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

12.4.2016
sjks