IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-2570-2015
Date of Decision: February 24, 2016

Harjinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Eklavya Gupta, Advocate,
for Mr. Harchand Singh Batth, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab,
for the respondent.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J. (Oral):

Challenge in the present criminal revision petition is to the order dated 4.7.2015, passed by learned Judge, Special Court, Ludhiana, whereby the application moved by the petitioner for release of his Maruti-Zen car, bearing Registration No. HR-51-Q-3010, on *Superdari,* was dismissed.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from HC Bhupinder Singh of Police Station, Division No. 7, Ludhiana, very fairly concedes that the petitioner is the registered owner of the

PRASHANT KAPOOR
2016.02.24 18:29
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

above-stated Maruti-Zen car and that the trial is going on and, as such, he has no objection if the said vehicle is ordered to be released on *Superdari,* to the petitioner.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the impugned order, the present petition is disposed of with the direction that during pendency of the trial, Maruti-Zen car, bearing Registration No. HR-51-Q-3010, shall be released on *Superdari* in favour of the petitioner, subject to his furnishing *Sapurdginama* to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

February 24, 2016
Pkapoor

PRASHANT KAPOOR
2016.02.24 18:29
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document