

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No.2549 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 01.02.2016

Sayed Farooq
.....Petitioner
versus
The State of Punjab
.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon’ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Rahul Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.A.P.S.Gill, AAG Punjab

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he does not press the revision on merits and presses only on the point of quantum of sentence as reflected in the order dated 20.7.2015 vide which notice was issued only on the limited extent.

The petitioner was convicted for commission of offences punishable under Section 279 and 304A IPC and sentenced to undergo as under:-

Name of convict	Under Section	R.I.	Fine	In default
Sayed Farooq	279 IPC	4 months	Rs.500/-	10 days
	304-A IPC	2 years	Rs.2000/-	2 months

In this case, the petitioner while driving the bus stated to hit bycycle resulting into death of cyclist Munshi Ram. As per

custody certificate, no other previous conviction is reported against the petitioner nor any other case is pending.

Therefore, by considering the circumstances in its entirety, the sentence of the petitioner under Section 304A IPC is reduced from two years to one year and three months. Remaining part of sentence under Sections 304A IPC and 279 IPC is maintained. With this modification, the present revision is dismissed.

01.02.2016

gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge