

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No.1405 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 04.05.2016

Mam Chand

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Manoj Kumar Pundir, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Pawan Gaur, DAG Haryana
Mr.Rajpal Singh, Advocate for the respondent No.2

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Impugned in the present revision is the judgment dated 10.2.2016, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri and judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 14.1.2013/15.1.2013, passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri.

Report from the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri received. Learned Magistrate has recorded the statements of the complainant as well as accused. It is reported that the parties have entered into compromise and the same is genuine and without any pressure. The complaint was filed by the complainant under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881.

In view of the matter, CRM No.11834 of 2016, seeking permission to compound the offence is allowed and since the offence has been lawfully compounded, the judgment dated 10.2.2016, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri and judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 14.1.2013/15.1.2013, passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri are set aside and the petitioner stands acquitted of the notice of accusation served upon him. He shall be released forthwith, if not required in any other case.

The revision petition stands allowed accordingly.

04.05.2016

gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge