

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Revision No.2493 of 2015
Date of decision: 18.01.2016**

Dinesh Kumar

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment? Yes/No**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not? Yes/No**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No**

Present: Ms. Alisha Soni, Advocate for
Mr. Shalender Mohan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, AAG, Haryana
for respondent No.1 – State.

None for respondent No.2.

Daya Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present
revision petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

18.01.2016.
neetu

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**