

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No. 2397 of 2015(O&M)
Date of Decision : 18.01.2016.**

Harinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Ashok Kumar and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr. S.S. Grewal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Kuldip Singh, J.(Oral)

Challenged in the present criminal revision petition is the judgment dated 01.04.2013 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Sri Muktsar Sahib upholding the judgment of acquittal dated 16.05.2009 passed by learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Muktsar.

The present petitioner had filed a complaint under Sections 323, 325, 452, 380, 382, 427 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code before learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Muktsar. The learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Muktsar after examining the entire evidence, dismissed the complaint and acquitted the accused.

After going through the judgment of both the Courts below, I am of the view that sound reasoning have been given in support of the orders. There is no illegality or perversity in the impugned judgments. It being so, there is no ground to interfere in the judgment of both the Courts below.

Accordingly, the revision as well as the application for condonation of delay are dismissed.

January 18, 2016.
kanchan

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE