

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No.2386 of 2015

Date of decision: February 08, 2016.

KC THAKUR

... **Petitioner**

v.

M/S SIKANDER MALL & MULTIPLEX PVT LTD & ANR

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Ravi Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

This is revision filed by the complainant against the judgment dated 21.4.2015 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Chandigarh vide which, while maintaining the conviction of the accused-respondent, the amount of compensation was enhanced from Rs.5.30 lacs to 5.80 lacs. The accused is alleged to have issued a cheque for Rs.5.30 lacs which bounced, for which he has been convicted under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. I am of the view that maximum compensation awarded can be double the amount of cheque. Here a sum of Rs.5.80 lacs has been awarded as compensation for the cheque issue for Rs.5.30 lacs. There is no scope for further enhancement of compensation.

Dismissed.

February 08, 2016.
kadyan

[**Kuldip Singh**]
Judge