

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRR NO.2358 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision : 16.08.2016

Paramjit Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. Prashant Bansal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)**

The instant revision petition has been filed by the petitioner, impugning the judgment dated 08.04.2015, passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala, whereby, the appeal filed by respondent Nos.2 to 5 against the judgment dated 03.06.2014, passed by learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Rajpura, has been partly allowed and respondent Nos.2 to 5 have been granted the concession of probation in case FIR No.208 dated 07.10.2008 registered under Sections 323 and 324 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'the IPC') read with Section 34 IPC, at Police Station Sadar, Rajpura.

The learned counsel refers to the injuries suffered by the petitioner and for this he had to incur huge expenses on the treatment. However, there is no cogent and convincing evidence in this regard. Vide judgment dated 08.04.2015, respondent Nos.2 to 5 were granted the

concession of probation after considering that they are first offenders. The period of probation is over. Earlier the matter came up before this Court and on one date the learned counsel sought time to argue the matter. Subsequent thereto, the learned counsel did not cause representation. On close scrutiny of the record on file, this Court feels that it is a fit case where the provisions of Section 360 Cr.P.C. and Sections 4 and 5 of Probation of Offenders Act are attracted. Respondent Nos.2 to 5 are first offenders. They have been facing the proceedings of this case since 2008. Having regard to the circumstances of the case including the nature of offence and the character of the offenders, this Court feels that it was expedient to release them on probation of good conduct. There is no illegality or perversity in the impugned judgment.

Consequently, the present revision petition fails and is hereby dismissed.

**16.08.2016**

*ashok*

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No