

**226 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No.1215 of 2016 (O/M)  
Date of decision : May 25, 2016**

**Jagtar Singh**

**..... Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab**

**..... Respondent**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

**Present:-** Mr. Indepal Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.-

-.-

**KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)**

Present petitioner has been convicted for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 279 and 304-A IPC and sentenced to undergo maximum rigorous imprisonment for two years under Section 304-A IPC in addition to fine.

Notice of motion was issued only on the point of quantum of sentence.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have also carefully gone through the case file.

In this accident, one person lost his life.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that rigorous imprisonment for two years is maximum sentence, which is awarded to the present petitioner for the commission of offence punishable under Section 304-A IPC in addition to fine.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the no previous conviction is alleged against the present petitioner, the sentence of rigorous imprisonment for two years is reduced to 1 year for the commission of offence punishable under Section 304-A IPC. However, the remaining sentence awarded for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 304-A IPC and Section 279 IPC is maintained.

With the aforesaid modification, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

**May 25, 2016**  
sarita