

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**(i) Criminal Revision No.1200 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 22nd September, 2016**

Angrej Singh alias Geji

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

(ii) Criminal Revision No.3066 of 2016 (O&M)

Roshan Singh alias Roshan

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Ranjodh Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner in Criminal Revision No.1200 of 2016.

Mr. Harchand Singh Batth, Advocate
for the petitioner in Criminal Revision No.3066 of 2016.

Mr. K.S.Pannu, DAG, Punjab
for the respondent(s)-State.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of Criminal Revision No.1200 of 2016,
filed by petitioner Angrej Singh and Criminal Revision No.3066 of 2016,
filed by petitioner Roshan Singh alias Roshan against the judgment dated
14.01.2016, passed by the learned Addl. District and Sessions Judge, Tarn
Taran, dismissing the appeal of the petitioners against the judgment of

Criminal Revision No.1200 of 2016 (O&M)

-2-

conviction and order of sentence dated 07.05.2012 vide which they have been convicted and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of 02 years each under Section 411 IPC.

Custody certificates by way of affidavit of Satnam Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent, Sub Jail, Patti Prison have been filed. Same are taken on record. As per custody certificate, petitioner Angrej Singh has already undergone 08 months and 11 days of actual sentence and petitioner Roshan Singh has undergone 08 months and 07 days of actual sentence.

Learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the instant petition on merits but states that as the petitioners are the first offender and no other case is pending against them, reduction in the sentence may be called for.

Custody certificates, produced by learned State counsel, corroborate that the petitioners' antecedents are otherwise clean.

In these circumstances, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners and consequently, the sentence of the petitioners is reduced to one year instead of two years.

Accordingly, both the petitions stand dismissed.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

22nd September, 2016

mamta

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No