

**269 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.1187 of 2016.

Decided on: 13.07.2016.

Rakesh @ Robo

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

This revision is directed against the order dated 11.3.2016, passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar and the order dated 29.2.02016, passed by Principal Magistrate, Juvenile Justice Board, Yamuna Nagar, whereby the grant of bail was declined to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner refers to Annexure P-2 and contends that vide order dated 04.03.2016, passed by this Court, the co-accused Jatinder Kumar and Chhota Bihari were admitted to bail. He further contends that though, the petitioner is named in the FIR but no role has been ascribed to him.

However, learned State counsel states that the petitioner gave fist below to the complainant.

Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is a juvenile, his co-accused have been granted bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court by order dated 04.03.2016, the petitioner is in custody since 11.02.2016, out of six prosecution witnesses, none has been examined so far, therefore, it can safely be inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in near future, so, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of Principal Magistrate, Juvenile Justice Board, Yamuna Nagar.

13.07.2016.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE