

CRR No. 1092-2016

Date of Decision : 20.05.2016

Sahil

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**Present:** Mr. R.S. Budhwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)

Petitioner claims that he is a juvenile. The role attributed to him is that he was armed with a gun and fired a shot hitting Yogesh, nephew of complainant Ranjit, on buttock and right thigh as a result of which he died.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that all the material witnesses have been examined. There are glaring contradictions in the ocular version and the story put forth as well as the medical evidence produced.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and I am of the opinion that the trial having almost been concluded, it will not be fair to enter into the niceties of the trial to arrive at a conclusion that the petitioner is not guilty. Any such exercise might prejudice the right of the petitioner or the prosecution side. The trial being at penultimate

stage, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the Juvenile Justice Board to give short dates in the present case and make earnest endeavour to conclude the trial preferably within a period of two months with effect from the next date of hearing.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

20.05.2016
Neha