

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No.2129 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.02.2016

Bhupinder Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Vivek Goel, Advocate for the petitioner

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

CRM No.18982 of 2015

For the reasons mentioned in the application, it is allowed and 130 days delay in filing the revision petition stands condoned.

CRR No.2129 of 2015

Present revision is against the judgment dated 7.10.2014, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Fatehgarh Sahib, vide which, the appeal filed by the complainant/ petitioner against the judgment of acquittal dated 24.9.2013, passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Fatehgarh Sahib was dismissed.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have carefully gone through the file.

It comes out that the case was registered on the statement of one Jaspal Singh, who is the sole witness to the alleged accident. During trial, Jaspal Singh turned hostile and did not support the prosecution case. Therefore, for lack of evidence, the accused

was acquitted. I am of the view that there is no illegality or infirmity in the view taken by both the Courts below.

The revision petition stands dismissed.

26.02.2016

gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**