

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 14829 of 2010 (O&M)

Date of decision : 2.3.2016

Ram Sarup

.. Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: Ms. Minakshi Poswal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. R. D. Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

The petitioner, who claims himself to be Ex-Sarpanch, has filed the present petition impugning the order dated 5.3.2010, vide which arms license granted to him, was cancelled.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner requires the license being Ex-Sarpanch for his own security. Out of five cases referred to in the impugned order, in three he has been acquitted. He never misused the weapon.

Learned counsel for the State submitted that considering the conduct of the petitioner and the report received from the Superintendent of Police, the matter was examined by the Deputy Commissioner and the arms license granted to the petitioner was cancelled considering his criminal background.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner was involved in five criminal cases, as noticed in the impugned order, I do not find that there is any error in the order passed by the Deputy Commissioner cancelling the arms license granted to the petitioner. Involvement of the petitioner, who claims himself to be Ex-Serpanch, in five criminal cases shows his mind set. Licensed

weapon with such a person can be misused at any stage, which can be a threat to the peace.

For the reasons mentioned above, I do not find any merit in the present petition. The same is accordingly dismissed.

2.3.2016
vs

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge