

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR No. 1965 of 2015
Date of decision : August 24, 2016

Bahadur Singh Virk @ Bobvirk

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Gaurav S Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Addl. AG Punjab

Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Mr. Neeraj, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

This petition has been filed challenging the order dated
24.02.2015 refusing to summon respondents No.2 and 3 as additional
accused under Section 319 of the Cr.P.C.

The trial Court has noticed that there are four versions which

have appeared in the testimony which are all contradictory and, therefore, has come to the conclusion that on the basis of this contradiction, it would not be prudent to summon any person at this stage.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that there were other eye witnesses also.

In my opinion, as and when those eye witnesses appear and a requirement is felt to summon some other additional accused, it can be done but at this it stage is hard to fault the finding of the trial Court. As the matters now stand, it would be highly imprudent to summon any additional accused. Consequently, this petition is dismissed.

August 24, 2016
'kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No