

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No.1964 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 19.02.2016

Ravinder

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Sanjeev Kumar Panwar, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Maheshinder Singh Sidhu, Addl.A.G. Haryana

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioner was convicted by learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Palwal vide judgment of conviction dated 1.11.2014 and order of sentence dated 5.11.2014 for the offences punishable under Sections 279, 337, 304-A IPC as under:-

<i>Under Section</i>	<i>Period</i>	<i>Nature</i>	<i>Fine</i>	<i>In default of payment of fine (SI/RI)</i>
279 IPC	Six months	R.I.	Rs.500	S.I. for one month
337 IPC	Six months	R.I.	Rs.500/-	S.I. for one month
304A IPC	Two years	R.I.	Rs.2000/-	S.I. for three months

All the sentences were directed to run concurrently.

Appeal filed by the petitioner was dismissed by learned Additional

Sessions Judge, Palwal vide judgment dated 3.4.2015.

At the time of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner presses the present revision only on the point of quantum of sentence. The perusal of the judgment shows that in this case, in the accident one person died.

Keeping in view the entire facts and circumstances and the period undergone by the petitioner, substantive sentence under Section 304A IPC is reduced from rigorous imprisonment of two years to rigorous imprisonment one year and three months. Remaining part of the sentences awarded under Section 304-A, 279 and 337 IPC are maintained. With this modification, the revision is dismissed.

19.02.2016*gk***(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**