

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR-1946-2015

Date of Decision: February 09, 2016

Sakshi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

- 1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?***
- 2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?***
- 3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?***

Argued by: Mr.Sumit Sangwan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl.A.G.Haryana.

Mr.Balraj Gujjar, Advocate
for the informant/complainant.

.....

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

For the reasons recorded in the order of even date passed in CRR-1795-2015, titled Sandeep @ Monu @ Sonu Vs. State of Haryana, the present petition is partly allowed.

February 09, 2016
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE