

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No.1903 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.04.2016

Satnam Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

Davinder Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Dhiraj Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.B.P.S. Virk, Advocate for the respondent

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Impugned in the present revision is the judgment dated 4.4.2015, passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge(A), Gurdaspur, affirming the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 22.8.2013, passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Batala, vide which, the present petitioner was convicted for commission of offence punishable under Section 138 Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 and sentenced to undergo Rigorous Imprisonment for one and half year and also ordered to pay compensation to the tune of Rs.3,85,000/- to the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has pressed the revision only on the quantum of sentence.

Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances and

the fact that vide order of even date passed in CRR No.1904 of 2015, the petitioner has been sentenced to undergo Rigorous Imprisonment for one year and this sentence is to run separately, the substantive sentence of Rigorous Imprisonment for one and half year is reduced to Rigorous Imprisonment for six months. Remaining part of the sentence is kept intact.

With the above modification, the revision stands dismissed.

07.04.2016
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge