

CRM-M-9957-2016

[1] Pankaj Kakkar
2016.07.23 15:33
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(218)

CRM-M-9957-2016

Date of Decision:-22.07.2016

Mukrim

.....Petitioner

V/S

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Manoj K. Tanwar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?*

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties. Learned
State Counsel states that majority of the witnesses have been
examined and the rest have been summoned.

In that view of the matter, learned trial Judge is
directed to expedite and oppose the trial within a period of 4
months from today. The police department is directed to
cooperate with the learned Sessions Court.

Consequently, the bail application is not
entertained.

Dismissed.

July 22, 2016

Pankaj

**(A.B. Chaudhari)
Judge**