

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No.1729 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 15.01.2016

Jagdev Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

Satinderjit Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.M.K. Dogra, Advocate for the petitioner

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Impugned in the present revision is the judgment dated 10.9.2014, passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge(A), Fast Track Court, Amritsar, affirming the judgment dated 19.12.2011, passed by the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Amritsar, vide which, complaint filed under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC by the present complainant was dismissed at the stage of framing the charge.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have also carefully gone through the file.

It comes out from the order of the lower Court that at pre-charge stage, only the complainant was examined. It was alleged that the accused has challenged the Will of his maternal grandfather

Gopal Singh but the said forged document was not placed on file. Neither the expert nor any attesting witness was examined. Therefore, from the mere statement of the complainant, it could not be assumed that the Will was executed and that same is forged one. In fact, there was no evidence, on the basis of which, charge could be framed. Therefore, there is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned order.

Resultantly, the present revision stands dismissed.

15.01.2016*gk***(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**