

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9887-2016

Date of Decision : 18.03.2016

Ronika Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. **Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment?**
2. **To be referred to reporters or not?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?**

Present : Ms. Ankita Dada, Advocate for
Mr. Jitender S. Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Notice of motion to official respondents No.1 to 4.

On asking of Court, Mr. J.S. Brar, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the said respondents. A copy of the paper book be handed over to him.

The petition stands disposed off with direction to the official respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-4) within the framework of law.

Meanwhile, in case any instance of threat is brought to the notice of the Police by the petitioners they will act into it expeditiously in accordance with law.

March 18, 2016
Dpr

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE