

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -9844 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 30.11.2016

Arundeeep Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Ranjan Lakhanpal, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Gain Chand.

Mr. G.S. Brar, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.54 dated 16.02.2016, registered under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of IPC, at Police Station City Khanna, District Ludhiana.

On 21.03.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“On the oral request made by learned counsel, complainant i.e. Harjinder Kaur, resident of House No.3, Krishna Nagar, Khanna is impleaded as party respondent No.2.

Registry is directed to carry out the necessary

changes in the memo of parties.

Counsel would argue that the complainant is none other than the daughter-in-law of petitioners No.2 and 3 and sister-in-law of petitioner No.1. It is submitted that marriage of the complainant was solemnized with son of petitioners No.2 and 3 on 24.10.2009 and who unfortunately died of cancer on 20.01.2015. It is submitted that the allegations of maltreatment, harassment and misappropriation of istri dhan etc. have all surfaced after the complainant lost her husband.

Counsel contends that the petitioners are even now ready and willing to rehabilitate the complainant in the joint household as also to take care of the upkeep/education of the grandchild, namely, Navsirat Kaur.

Notice of motion, returnable for 11.07.2016.

At this stage, Mr. G.S.Brar, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant.

In the meanwhile, petitioners are directed to appear before the Investigating Officer and to join investigation.

In the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and they shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

It is contended petitioner No.1 is brother in-law whereas petitioner Nos. 2 & 3 are parents in-law. He submits that the petitioners are ready and willing to give 1/3rd share of the assets held by the family to the grandson. In pursuance of the order dated

21.03.2016, the petitioners have joined the investigation

The learned counsel for respondent No.2 accept the offer made.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 21.03.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

30.11.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No