

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 9810 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 02.05.2016**

Sukhdev Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Sr. Advocate assisted by
Mr. Shiv Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Additional Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State assisted by ASI Lilu Ram.

Mr. G.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the complainant.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, is for grant of anticipatory bail on behalf of the petitioner/accused-Sukhdev Singh in case FIR No. 12, dated 07.01.2016, for offences punishable under Sections 323, 341, 506, 148, 149, 109 of the Indian Penal Code (Section 307, IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Kalanwali, District Sirsa.

FIR has been registered on the statement of Kuldeep Singh in relation to an occurrence dated 06.01.2016 in which uncle of the complainant, namely, Baljinder Singh was attacked by nine accused persons, including the present petitioner.

Learned Senior counsel has contended that as per MLR, injury No. 1 on the forehead i.e. a lacerated wound has been opined to be dangerous to life and such injury is specifically attributed not to the present petitioner but to

Sewak Singh @ Gursewak Singh son of Kaur Singh who has since been arrested. It was further contended that the specific injury attributed to the present petitioner is of a *lathi* blow on the left thigh of Baljinder Singh i.e. injury No.3 and which is opined to be simple in nature.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to order dated 18.03.2016, passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and fully cooperated.

Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

Learned State Counsel, assisted by ASI Lilu Ram, does not dispute the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, the interim protection/pre-arrest bail granted to the petitioner by this Court's order dated 18.03.2016, is made absolute.

Disposed of.

May 02, 2016

'dk kamra'

**(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE**